

วิชามหกายวิภาคศาสตร์ของมหาวิทยาลัยขอนแก่น

Le Ngoc Ninh (เลอ หงือก นินฮ์)* Dr.Nguyen Hoai Nam (ครเหงียน ฮอย นาม.)** Dr.Sirikachorn Tangkawattana (คร. สิริขจร ดังควัฒนา)*** Dr.Peerapol Sukon (ครพีระพล สุขอ้วน.)**** Dr.Prasarn Tangkawattana (ครประสาน ดังควัฒนา.)****

ABSTRACT

Toxicity of formaldehyde (FA) in cadaver embalming solution has become a major health concern of all involving parties. Questionnaires were performed with 84veterinary students of KKU currently taking small animal anatomy laboratory. 31 (36.7%) students expressed multiple post-exposure acute symptoms, including unpleasant smell, dry or sore nose, unusual thirst, itching or sore eyes, disturbance of sight, and general fatigue or dizziness. FA level in air measured directly from cadavers and body parts (3.88 ± 028 ppm), 20 cm above the cadavers (1.29 ± 0.41 to 2.01 ± 4.3 ppm) and five areas of the laboratory (0.78 ± 0.06 to 0.91 ± 0.23 ppm) was apparently much higher than the permissible exposure limit which surely affected health of the students and teaching staffs. Thus, further studies to reduce FA exposure are crucial.

บทคัดย่อ

ความเป็นพิษของฟอร์มาลดีไฮด์ในน้ำยาดองตัวอย่างสัตว์ทดลองเพื่อการเรียนมหกายวิภาคศาสตร์เป็นข้อกังวลด้านสุขภาพของ ทุกคนที่เกี่ยวข้อง ผลการทำแบบสอบถามอาการที่เกิดจากการสัมผัสฟอร์มาลดีไฮด์ของนักศึกษาสัตวแพทย์ มคนที่ 84 ขอนแก่น จำนวน. คน 31 กำลังเรียนวิชามหกายวิภาคศาสตร์สัตว์เล็กพบว่า นักศึกษาจำนวน(36.7%) แสดงอาการเฉียบพลันในลักษณะต่างๆ อาทิ แสบจมูก และตา จมูกแห้งหรือเจ็บ กระหายน้ำมากกว่าปกติ คันตา รบกวนการมองเห็น อ่อนเพลียและมึนงง ผลการตรวจวัดความเข้มข้นของ ฟอร์มาลดีไฮด์ทั้งจากสัตว์ทดลองและอวัยวะต่างๆ โดยตรง (3.88±028 พีพีเอ็ม) วัดที่ความสูงจากสัตว์ทดลอง .ซม 20(1.29±0.41 ถึง 2.01±4.3 พีพีเอ็ม) และวัดจากบริเวณต่างๆ ของห้องจำนวน บริเวณ 5(0.78±0.06 ถึง 0.91±0.23 พีพีเอ็ม พบว่ามีค่าสูงกว่าค่า (permissible exposure limit อย่างมาก ซึ่งย่อมส่งผลต่อสุขภาพของนักศึกษารวมทั้งอาจารย์และเจ้าหน้าที่ที่เกี่ยวข้อง การศึกษาเพื่อลด การสัมผัสกับฟอร์มาลดีไฮด์จึงมีความสำคัญอย่างยิ่ง

Key Words: Formaldehyde, Dog cadaver, Health effects

กำสำคัญ: ฟอร์มาลดีไฮด์ ตัวอย่างสุนัขดอง ผลกระทบด้านสุขภาพ

- * Student, Master of Science Program in Interdisciplinary Veterinary Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Khon Kaen University
- ** Doctor of Science Program in Interdisciplinary Veterinary Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Khon Kaen University

433

^{***} Assistant Professor, Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Khon Kaen University

^{****} Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Khon Kaen University

^{*****} Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Khon Kaen University



Introduction

Gross anatomy is the backbone and fundamental topics in medical and veterinary education (Bay and Ling, 2007). Regards, most universities use cadaveric dissection to construct anatomical knowledge among students (Korf et al., 2008). Formaldehyde (FA) has been widely used as a principle component of embalming fluid for preparation of cadaver because of its effective and long-term preserving properties at a reasonable cost through inhibiting microbial growth and denaturing protein with rapid tissue penetration (Natekar and Desouza, 2007). Paradoxically, health risk among all involving parties becomes the major disadvantages of FA (Gahukar, 2014). Direct skin contact and inhalation of FA vapor can cause both acute and chronic health effects. The immediate symptoms include irritation of the throat, nose, eyes, and skin. Several studies have revealed that these acute effects always occur among anatomists, histologist, embalming technicians, medical and veterinary students (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry [ATSDR], 1999). However, the chronic exposure may cause the eruption of various cancers, such as nasopharyngeal cancer, ocular melanoma, lung cancer, brain cancer and leukaemia (Ya'acob et al., 2013). Thus, FA is classified as a human carcinogen by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 1999 and International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) in 2006. Accordingly, the Occupational Safety and Health and Administration (OSHA) established a permissible exposure limit (PEL) of FA at 0.75 ppm for time weighted average (TWA) and 2 ppm for short term exposure limit (STEL) (OSHA, 2004). In addition, the Ministry of Interior Affairs of Thailand stipulated

3 ppm for an 8-hour-TWA and 5 ppm for a 15minute-STEL (Ministry of Internal Affairs, 2520).

Thus far, there are quite a few researches on the health effects of FA in gross anatomy laboratory in Thailand (Winkelmann and Güldner, 2004; Lakchayapakorn and Watchalayarn, 2010). Therefore, FA-related health effects of students and FA vaporized from dog cadavers at the anatomy teaching laboratory, Dept. of Vet Anatomy, Khon Kaen University, Thailand, is investigated.

Objectives of the study

The aim of this study was to seek information regarding formaldehyde-associated symptoms of students and staffs, and to measure the level of FA vapor from the dog specimens being used at the gross anatomy laboratory, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Thailand.

Methodology

Site of the study

This study was conducted at the gross anatomy laboratory of the Dept. of Vet. Anatomy, Fac. of Vet. Med., Khon Kaen University (Figure 1). This non-air conditioned laboratory is approximately 23.8m x 10.4m x 4.1m in dimension with 4 doors and 25 louver windows on its left and right walls. Room air is ventilated by 12 ceiling fans and 6 wall exhausted fans. There are 24 dissecting tables evenly arrayed in 4x6 rows---21 for students and 3 for keeping equipment or self-study materials. The room temperature is usually about 25-28^oC during the class.







Schedule of cadaver dissection

85 second year students (4-5 students per table and one dog cadaver) were assigned to attend the three-hour session (from 1.00-4.00 PM) of small animal anatomy laboratory, twice a week (Monday and Wednesday) for 15 weeks (starting the second week of August 2014 to the third week of November 2014). A total of 21 dogs cadavers of both sexes were embalmed with 10% formalin solution. The regional dissection was performed at the lower neck/shoulder, thorax, abdomen, pelvis, forelimb, hind limb, head and upper neck, and brain and spinal cord. After finishing the Wednesday lab session, the cadavers were kept in the formalin well in the other building. They were taken out and washed well with tap water before being placed on the dissecting table on Monday.

Questionnaire

The questionnaire used in this study was modified from that of the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA, 1999), and Swedish Performance Evaluation System (SPES) questionnaire. This questionnaire was translated to Thai language. In this study, various symptoms caused by the toxicity of the embalming fluid among the veterinary students were evaluated. The questions concerned individual characteristics (e.g., age, gender). All 13 subjective symptoms included general feelings, effects on eyes, nostrils and skin, etc. Quantitative symptoms were asked on a four categories---not at all, barely recognizable, strong/prominent/irritating, and intolerable (see Table 1). All the symptoms were explained to the students beforehand for the accurate response.

Measurement of FA in air and cadavers

HAL-HFX105 handled FA meter (Hal Technology LLC, California, United States) was used for this all FA measurement in this study. The measurements were conducted while anatomy laboratory classes were running. FA in air was measured at five sites---the centre and 4 corners of the laboratory (Figure 1). FA in cadavers (after skin of each area was removed) was measured in the immediate vicinity of the cadaver (at the level 20 cm above the cadavers). The measurements were performed triplicately following the dissecting



assignments of the course---over the lower neck/shoulder (week 1), forelimb (week 2), thoracic cavity (week 4), abdominal cavity (week 8), hind limb (week 10), upper neck/head (week 12) and cranial cavity/brain/spinal cord (week 12). Temperature and humidity in this laboratory room during the experiment were also recorded.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical significance of group differences among was analyzed by one-way ANOVA. The two-sided T-test at the statistically significant level of 0.05 and with the 95% confidence intervals are used. SPSS program (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY:IBM Corp, USA) was used for all analyses.

Results

Acute symptoms among students

Thirty-one male and 53 female veterinary students (out of 85 who were taking the class) completed and returned the questionnaire. The mean age of all students was 19.62 years (ranging between 18 to 24 years).

Results of various acute symptoms among veterinary students after exposure to FA were shown in Table 1. The most prominent symptom was the intolerably unpleasant smell (54.7%). Regards, students answering to have strong, prominent and irritating symptoms of respiratory distress, insomnia, and skin irritations were found to be lower than 10%. However, the intolerable symptom extending above 10% were unpleasant smell (54.7%), dry or sore nose (13.1%), unusual thirst (17.8%), itching or sore eyes (30.9%), disturbance of sight (14.4%), and general fatigue or dizziness (28.6%). The questionnaire

revealed that about 31 (36.7%) students expressing strong/prominent/irritating (17.77, 21.14%) and intolerable symptoms (13.08, 15.58%) were clearly affected by the FA vapor.

Concentration of FA in air

The mean concentrations of FA of all areas being measured in the 1st, 4th, 8th, and 14th week of the class were 0.78 ± 0.06 , 0.91 ± 0.23 , 0.86 ± 0.17 , and 0.83 ± 0.09 ppm, respectively (Table 2). It was quite apparently that the concentrations in the center of the lab were always higher than that in the other areas at any measurement time, especially in the 4th (1.35 ppm) and 8th week (1.18 ppm) when thorax and abdomen were opened.

The FA levels measured at 20 cm above the cadavers were 1.54 ppm in the 1st week, 2.01 ppm in the 4th week, 1.95 ppm in the 8th week, and 1.29 ppm in the 14th week, respectively (Table 2). These levels were double to that in any other measured points in the gross anatomy laboratory.

Concentration of FA in cadavers

The FA concentrations were noticeably varied among the cadavers of which were 2.07 ± 0.60 ppm in the cranial cavity, 2.27 ± 0.39 ppm in the lower neck/shoulder, 5.02 ± 0.59 ppm in the thoracic cavity, 4.89 ± 0.73 ppm in the abdominal cavity, 4.39 ± 0.69 ppm in the forelimb, and 4.59 ± 0.82 ppm in the hind limb (Table 3). There was no association between body weight of cadavers and FA concentrations. The highest level of FA was measured at the thoracic cavity on the 4th week of dissection whereas the lowest levels were measured in the lower neck/shoulder in the 1st week and the cranial cavity in the 14th week of the class (Figure 2).



	Extend of Symptoms, n (%)					
Symptoms	Not at All	Barely	Strong, Prominent	1.4.111		
		Recognizable	and Irritating	Intolerable		
Unpleasant smell	1 (1.2)	5 (6.0)	32 (38.1)	46 (54.7)		
Dry or sore nose	18 (21.4)	20 (23.8)	35 (41.7)	11 (13.1)		
Running or congested nose	26 (31.0)	28 (33.3)	22 (26.2)	8 (9.5)		
Unusual thirst	21 (25.0)	26 (31.0)	22 (26.2)	15 (17.8)		
Redness eyes	35 (41.7)	26 (31.0)	17 (20.2)	6 (7.1)		
Itching or sore eyes	14 (16.7)	23 (27.4)	21 (25.0)	26 (30.9)		
Disturbance of sight	27 (32.1)	28 (33.3)	17 (20.2)	12 (14.4)		
Nausea	46 (54.8)	16 (19.0)	16 (19.0)	6 (7.2)		
Headache	29 (34.5)	32 (38.1)	17 (20.2)	6 (7.2)		
Respiratory distress	59 (70.2)	12 (14.3)	7 (8.3)	6 (7.2)		
General fatigue or dizziness	21 (25.0)	23 (27.4)	16 (19.0)	24 (28.6)		
Insomnia	72 (85.8)	6 (7.1)	6 (7.1)	0 (0.0)		
Itchy or irritated skin on hand	58 (69.0)	19 (22.6)	3 (3.6)	4 (4.8)		
Mean count ± SD	32.85±20.4	20.31±8.48	17.77±9.25	13.08±12.45		
Mean percentage ± SD	39.11±24.29	24.18±10.1	21.14±11.02	15.58±14.79		

Table 1 The acute symptoms of the veterinary students after exposed to formaldehyde

 Table 2
 Concentrations of formaldehyde vapor being measured in 5 areas of the gross anatomy laboratory and 20 cm

 above the cadavers. The measurements were performed while students were regionally dissecting the

 cadavers on weeks 1 (lower neck and shoulder), 4 (thoracic cavity), 8 (abdominal cavity) and 14 (cranial

 cavity) of the class.

A #000	Concentrations of formaldehyde (min-max) (ppm)					
Areas	1 st week	4 th week	8 th Week	14 th week		
Corner 1	0.73 (0.71-0.75)	0.79 (0.77-0.81)	0.75 (0.75-0.76)	0.78 (0.70-0.83)		
Corner 2	0.80 (0.79-0.82)	0.84 (0.83-0.85)	0.82 (0.80-0.83)	0.83 (0.80-0.87)		
Corner 3	0.73 (0.70-0.77)	0.74 (0.70-0.77)	0.72 (0.70-0.75)	0.74 (0.69-0.78)		
Corner 4	0.80 (0.79-0.82)	0.85 (0.80-0.89)	0.84 (0.82-0.87)	0.79 (0.76-0.83)		
Center	0.86 (0.84-0.89)	1.35 (1.30-1.45)	1.18 (1.13-1.23)	0.98 (0.92-1.04)		
Mean (air)±SD	0.78±0.06	0.91±0.23	0.86±0.17	0.83±0.09		
Mean (above cadavers)±SD	1.54±0.28	2.01±0.43	1.95±0.60	1.29±0.41		



Case No.	Body	Concentration in each area of the cadavers (ppm) (n=21)					Mean \pm SD	
	weight	Cranial	neck/	Thoracic	Abdominal	Forelimb	Hindlimb	
	(kg)	cavity	Shoulder	cavity	cavity			
1	9.40	3.01	2.08	4.59	4.05	4.05	5.73	3.92±1.26
2	9.70	2.51	2.75	5.82	3.88	4.23	4.15	3.89±1.19
3	10.90	2.02	2.70	5.32	6.12	4.47	4.05	4.11±1.55
4	15.20	1.40	2.27	5.19	5.73	4.30	4.47	3.89±1.70
5	8.40	1.60	2.36	4.30	4.89	4.89	3.62	3.61±1.37
6	7.60	2.02	2.06	5.10	6.16	5.14	6.16	4.44±1.92
7	6.80	2.34	2.27	4.93	5.42	3.29	3.62	3.65±1.31
8	10.90	1.13	1.60	5.15	5.14	3.29	4.30	3.44±1.75
9	28.10	1.70	2.13	4.89	4.47	4.99	5.90	4.01±1.70
10	12.20	2.34	2.08	3.76	4.05	4.82	5.73	3.80±1.41
11	11.40	2.02	2.23	5.38	4.37	4.72	4.63	3.89±1.41
12	10.40	1.59	2.11	4.42	4.77	4.26	4.05	3.53±1.34
13	11.20	1.77	2.86	4.35	5.04	3.62	3.88	3.59±1.15
14	12.00	2.54	2.95	5.65	4.72	4.47	3.29	3.94±1.20
15	16.20	2.61	2.74	4.95	3.89	4.15	4.20	3.76±0.91
16	20.00	2.12	2.86	4.74	4.15	5.15	5.25	4.05±1.29
17	13.80	3.19	2.19	6.03	5.27	5.90	5.17	4.62±1.57
18	18.20	2.19	1.80	4.97	6.01	3.88	3.92	3.80±1.60
19	8.40	1.43	1.97	5.90	4.58	3.46	4.72	3.68±1.72
20	16.20	1.01	1.77	5.60	5.52	4.02	4.51	3.91±2.15
21	11.90	2.88	1.90	4.32	4.61	5.15	5.02	3.98±1.30
Mean±SD	12.80±4.94	2.07±0.60	2.27±0.39	5.02±0.59	4.89±0.73	4.39±0.69	4.59±0.82	3.88±0.28

Table 3 Concentrations of formaldehyde being measured above each area of the 21 cadavers





Figure 2 Concentrations of formaldehyde vapor in dog cadavers. All levels were much higher than the permissible exposure level for the time weighted average (0.75 ppm) established by the Occupational Safety and Health and Administration (OSHA).

Discussion and conclusions

This study revealed considerably irritation of FA which produced acute symptoms among veterinary students who exposed FA during anatomy laboratory, including unpleasant smell, itching or sore eyes, general fatigue or dizziness, dry or sore nose, unusual thirst, and disturbance of sight. This would trigger a certain respiratory symptoms and probably decrease their olfactory sensation. Such findings are consistent with other reports (Naing et al., 2006; Ya'acob et al., 2013).

Occupational exposures to FA estimated in this study reveals that students are exposed to high FA levels. The FA concentration in the gross anatomy laboratory ranged from 0.78-0.91 ppm during dissection practice. The FA concentration was lowest in the 1th and 14th weeks when regions of the lower neck/shoulder and cranial cavity were being dissected, and peak values were in the 4th and 8th week when

dissection of the thoracic and abdominal cavity were being performed (Table 1). Thus, it is a matter of fact that higher amount of FA was released when deeper or body cavities were being dissected. This is consistent with the study of Ohimichi et al. (2006), which reported the average indoor concentrations of FA in a gross anatomy during the three 3-week sessions (out of 20 sessions) to be 0.45, 0.38, and 0.68 ppm, respectively. Costa et al. (2008) also reported that the mean level of FA exposure in pathology anatomy laboratory was 0.44±0.08 ppm (ranging from 0.04-1.58 ppm). Moreover, FA concentration in the indoor air reported by Lakchayapakorn and Watchlayarn (2010) ranged only from 0.401 to 0.581 ppm. These levels were much lower than those in our study, especially in the 4th and 8th week of dissection when dissection of body cavities was being performed.



In the present study, the FA level above the dog cadavers ranged from 1.29 to 2.01 ppm. These values were much higher than that measured in the five areas of the laboratory. Shiraishi (2006) reported a mean concentration in the immediately vicinity of the six cadavers, ranging from 0.20-2.18 ppm. Kawamata et al. (2004) reported a range of 1.2-3.0 ppm in the air 10 cm above the cadaver. However, the airborne FA level in the immediately vicinity cadavers reported by Gahukar et al. (2014), ranging from 0.26-0.7 ppm (0.32-0.86 mg/m³), was lower than that of the present study. This would be relative with the FA concentration in the embalming solution, soaking solution and areas of dissected cadavers at the time of measurements.

The mean concentration of FA vapor in dog cadavers, ranging from 2.07-5.02 ppm, immensely exceeded the permissible exposure level for time weighted average (0.75 ppm) established by the Occupational Safety and Health and Administration (OSHA) (Figure 2). A long-term exposure to FA would be considered as high occupational health risk. Overall, the FA levels in the anatomy laboratory, and in the cadaver throughout the class period exceeded the permissible exposure level for FA in the guidelines set by the Health, Labour and Welfare Ministry in specified workplaces (0.25 ppm), and higher than the World Health Organization (WHO) guideline (0.3 ppm) (WHO, 2000). All of the FA observations were higher than OSHA PEL value (0.75 ppm for time weighted average) and OSHA value (2 ppm for short term exposure limit). The results demonstrated that the indoor air and cadaver quality in the gross anatomy laboratory concerning FA

concentration were mostly exceeding the approved safety limits for humans.

In summary, the veterinary students exposed to FA vapor higher than the permissible exposure limit. The most clinical symptoms are unpleasant smell, itching or sore eyes, general fatigue or dizziness, dry or sore nose, unusual thirst, and disturbance of sight. Future studies on the current topic should focus on the attempt to reduce FA concentration in the lab and exposure of FA of all involving parties.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the veterinary students for answering the questionnaires and the Department of Veterinary Anatomy, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Khon Kaen University, for the equipment, dog cadavers and facilities being used in this study.

References

- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry [ATSDR]. 1999. Toxicological profile for formaldehyde. DOHAH Services, Atlanta, GA, USA.
- Bay BH, Ling EA. 2007. Teaching of anatomy in the new millennium. Singapore Med J, 48(3): 182-183.
- Costa S, Coelho P, Costa C, Silva S, Mayan O, Santos LS, et al. 2008. Genotoxic damage in pathology anatomy laboratory workers exposed to FA. Toxicol, 252(1-3): 40-48.



- Gahukar S, Ramteke U, Majumdar D, Malviya R, Patil D, Trivedi J, Rao C, Kale AH. (2014).
 Prevalence of formaldehyde in indoor air of gross anatomy laboratory and cadaver storage room of a medical college. J Environ Occupational Sci, Online First: 25 September 2014.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer [IARC]. 2006. FA, 2-butoxyethanol and 1tertbutoxypropan-2-ol. IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum, 88: 1–478. PMID:17366697.
- Kawamata S, Kodera H. 2004. Reduction of formaldehyde concentrations in the air and cadaveric tissues by ammonium carbonate. Anatomical science international, 79(3): 152-157.
- Korf HW, Wicht H, Snipes RL, Timmermans JP, Paulsen F, Rune G, Baumgart-Vogt E. 2008. The dissection course - necessary and indispensable for teaching anatomy to medical students. Ann Anat, 190: 16-22.
- Lakchayapakorn K., Watchalayarn P. 2010. Formaldehyde exposure of medical students and instructors and clinical symptoms during gross anatomy laboratory in Thammasat University. J Med Assoc Thailand, 93 Suppl 7:S92-98.
- Ministry of Internal Affairs. 2520. Notification of the Ministry of Internal Affairs No. 103, B.E. 2520. Re: Chemical standard values in establishment. Government Gazette, Volume 94 Section 64, 12 Jul 1997.

- Naing L, Winn T, Rusli BN. 2006. Practical issues in calculating the sample size for prevalence studies. Ach Orofacial, 1: 9-14.
- Natekar PE, Desouza FM. 2007. Higher concentration of formalin for preservation of cadavers for dissection. Anat Karnataka - an Internat J, 3 (1): 81-87.
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration [OSHA]. 1996. Occupational exposure to formaldehyde. OSHA Fact Sheet No. 97-27. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Labor.
- Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment [OEHHA]. 1999. Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines Part I: Technical Suport Document for the Determination of Acute Toxicity Exposure Levels for Airborne Toxicants. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Cal/EPA.
- Ohmichi, K., Komiyama, M., Matsuno, Y., Takanashi, Y., Miyamoto, H., Kadota, T., et al. (2006). FA exposure in a gross anatomy laboratory--personal exposure level is higher than indoor concentration. Environmental science and pollution research international, 13(2): 120-124.
- Shiraishi N. 2006. Levels of formaldehyde, phenol and ethanol in dissection room air and measures for reduction. Jpn J Occupational Med Trauma, 54(1): 1–10.



World Health Organization [WHO]. 2000. Air

Quantity Guidelines for Europe. 2nd ed. Chapter 5.8. Formaldehyde. Copenhagen: WHO; 2000. P.87-91 [cited 1010 Feb 1]. Available from

URL:<u>http://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/p</u> df_file/0005/74732/E71922.pdf.

- Winkelmann A, Guldner FH. 2004. Cadavers as teachers: the dissecting room experience in Thailand. BMJ, 329(7480): 1455-1457.
- Ya'acob SH, Suis AJ, Awang N, Sahani M. (2013). Exposure assessment of formaldehyde and its symptoms among anatomy laboratory workers and medical students. Asian J App Sci, 6: 50-55.